

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/731,404	SUNWOO, MYUNG-HOON	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tan V. Mai	2193	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tan V. Mai.

(2) Mr. David Cutitta.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 February 2008

**Time:** 10:45AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



TAN V. MAI

(Examiner/SPE) **PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Rep. agrees to amend claim 12 as follow:

line 1,: "by" has been changed to --including instructions for--; and

line 6; "programmable processor" has been changed to --programmable processor chip--..



TAN V. MAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER